

Cracow, 5 September 2023

(Reference no.: CZ-272-17/22)

Dear Sir or Madam

Subject: Procedure conducted in an unlimited tender mode for the supply of specialized software under the project named "Center for Sustainable Management of minerals and energy" divided into parts - third procedure.

1. Data concerning the Ordering Party

Mineral and Energy Economy Research Institute Polish Academy of Sciences

ul. Wybickiego 7A, 31-261 Kraków

tel.: (+48) 12 632-22-00

Website: <https://min-pan.krakow.pl>

Address of the purchasing platform: <https://platformazakupowa.pl/pn/minpankrakow>

E-mail address: centrum@min-pan.krakow.pl

INFORMATION ON THE RESULT OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Acting on the basis of Article 253, paragraph 1, points 1 and 2 of the Act of September 11, 2019 on Public Procurement Law (Journal of Laws of 2022, item 1710, as amended), hereinafter referred to as the "PPL Act," I hereby provide information that in the aforementioned proceedings, for individual parts, the most advantageous offers were selected from the offers submitted by the following contractors:

1. Itasca 3DEC – part 1

SOFTBOOKS S.C., Grażyna Górską-Janik, Fabian Sojka,

ul. Pszona 3/69, 31-462 Kraków

A total of 3 contractors submitted offers in the proceedings. These offers obtained a certain number of points under the evaluation criteria set out in the table below:

No.	Company (name) or name and address of the contractor	The score for the price criterion	Total points
1.	SOFTBOOKS S.C., Grażyna Górską-Janik, Fabian Sojka, ul. Pszona 3/69, 31-462 Kraków	100	100

2.	Compro Jolanta Olszewska ul. Kotarbińskiego 19, 41-400 Mysłowice	94,20	94,20
3.	Restor P.Anton, A. Czapski, T.Tostropowicz spółka jawna z siedzibą w Warszawie, ul. Dworkowa 2/107a 00-784 Warszawa	75,50	75,50

Justification for the selection of the most advantageous offer:

The Ordering Party has decided to increase the amount it intends to allocate for the implementation of this part of the order to a total of 98,461.50 PLN gross.

The Ordering Party has conducted an examination and evaluation of the submitted offers. As a result, the Ordering Party has determined that the contractor SOFTBOOKS S.C., Grażyna Górską-Janik, Fabian Sojka (hereinafter referred to as "SOFTBOOKS") meets the participation requirements of the procedure and is not subject to exclusion. The Ordering Party has also determined that the offer submitted by the SOFTBOOKS contractor is not subject to rejection. Among the evaluation criteria adopted by the Ordering Party, the offer submitted by the SOFTBOOKS contractor received the highest number of points and was therefore evaluated as the most advantageous offer. The offer of this contractor, within the criteria, received a total of 100 points.

According to Article 308(2) of the Public Procurement Law, the Ordering Party may conclude a contract for public procurement within a period not shorter than 5 days from the date of sending the notification of the selection of the most advantageous offer.

2. Leapfrog Geo – Part 2

A total of 3 contractors submitted offers in the Procedure. These offers received the number of points under the offer evaluation criteria specified in the table below::

L.p.	Company (name) or name and address of the contractor	The score for the price criterion	Total points
1.	Bentley Systems International Limited Charlemont Exchange, 5th Floor, Charlemont Street, D02V N88, Dublin, Irlandia	Offer rejected based on Article 226(1)(3) of the Public Procurement Law.	
2.	SOFTBOOKS S.C., Grażyna Górską-Janik, Fabian Sojka,	100	100

	ul. Pszona 3/69, 31-462 Kraków		
3.	Restor P.Anton, A. Czapski, T.Tostropowicz spółka jawna z siedzibą w Warszawie, ul. Dworkowa 2/107a 00-784 Warszawa	96,6	96,6

Information about the rejection of the offer from Bentley Systems International Limited and the nullification of the procedure

The Ordering Party, in a communication posted on the purchasing platform just before the opening of offers, stated that they intended to allocate a gross amount of PLN 80,000.00 for the financing of 2 parts of the order, specifically Leapfrog Geo software. The offer from the contractor SOFTBOOKS S.C., Grażyna Górską-Janik, Fabian Sojka, which had the lowest price and was not subject to rejection, and the contractor met the participation requirements of the procedure and was not excluded, amounted to a gross amount of PLN 226,996.50. Since the Ordering Party did not decide to increase the amount allocated for the financing of the order in relation to this part, they are nullifying the procedure for Leapfrog Geo software - Part 2 due to exceeding the amount allocated for financing the order, based on Article 255 point 3 of the Public Procurement Law.

The Ordering Party is rejecting the offer from Bentley Systems International Limited under Article 226 paragraph 1 point 3 of the Public Procurement Law, as the offer submitted by this contractor was not prepared in the legally required form, i.e. in electronic form with a qualified electronic signature, electronic form with a trusted signature, or personal signature. The offer submitted by Bentley Systems International Limited was signed but did not possess the attributes of a qualified electronic signature, trusted signature, or personal signature. According to Article 63 paragraph 2 of the Public Procurement Law, in proceedings for the award of a contract or a competition with a value below the EU thresholds, an offer, an application for participation in the procurement procedure or competition, or a declaration referred to in Article 125 paragraph 1 of the Public Procurement Law shall be submitted, under penalty of invalidity, in electronic form or electronic form with a trusted signature or personal signature. According to Article 226 paragraph 1 point 3 of the Public Procurement Law, the Ordering Party rejects an offer if it is not in compliance with the provisions of the law. Therefore, it was necessary to reject the offer from Bentley Systems International Limited, as it was not submitted in the electronic form prescribed by the Public Procurement Law or in electronic form with a trusted signature or personal signature.

3. @RISK Pro – Part 3

Compro Jolanta Olszewska
ul. Kotarbińskiego 19, 41-400 Mysłowice

In the proceedings, one contractor submitted an offer. This offer obtained a specific number of points within the criteria for evaluating offers specified in the table below

No.	Company (name) or name and address of the contractor	The score for the price criterion	Total points
1.	Compro Jolanta Olszewska ul. Kotarbińskiego 19, 41-400 Mysłowice	100	100

The justification for choosing the most advantageous offer:

The Ordering Party has conducted an examination and evaluation of the submitted offer. As a result, the Ordering Party has determined that the Compro Jolanta Olszewska (hereinafter referred to as "Compro") meets the participation requirements in the proceedings and is not subject to exclusion. The Ordering Party has also determined that Compro's offer should not be rejected. According to the evaluation criteria adopted by the Ordering Party, Compro's offer received the highest number of points and was therefore assessed as the most advantageous offer. This offer from Compro received a total of 100 points within the criteria.

According to Article 308(3)(1)(a) of the Public Procurement Law, the Ordering Party may conclude a contract for the public procurement before the expiration of the period referred to in Article 308(2) of the Public Procurement Law (i.e. within a period of not less than 5 days from the date of sending the notification of the selection of the most advantageous offer), as only one offer was submitted in the present procedure, which was conducted in the basic mode.

4. FEFLOW FMH3 – Part 4

DHI Polska sp. z o.o.
ul. Bagno 2/89, 00-112 Warszawa

A total of 3 contractors submitted offers in the proceedings. These offers obtained a certain number of points under the evaluation criteria set out in the table below:

No.	Company (name) or name and address of the contractor	The score for the price criterion	Total points
1.	DHI Polska sp. z o.o. ul. Bagno 2/89 00-112 Warszawa	100	100
2.	Compro Jolanta Olszewska ul. Kotarbińskiego 19, 41-400 Mysłowice	93,2	93,2

Justification for the selection of the most advantageous offer:

The Ordering Party has decided to increase the amount it intends to allocate for the implementation of this part of the order to a total of 134 808,00 zł gross.

The Ordering Party has conducted an examination and evaluation of the submitted offers. As a result, the Ordering Party has determined that the Contractor DHI Polska sp. z o.o. (hereinafter: "DHI Polska") meets the participation requirements of the procedure and is not subject to exclusion. The Ordering Party has also determined that the offer submitted by the DHI Polska contractor is not subject to rejection. Among the evaluation criteria adopted by the Ordering Party, the offer submitted by the DHI Polska contractor received the highest number of points and was therefore evaluated as the most advantageous offer. The offer of this contractor, within the criteria, received a total of 100 points.

According to Article 308(2) of the Public Procurement Law, the Ordering Party may conclude a contract for public procurement within a period not shorter than 5 days from the date of sending the notification of the selection of the most advantageous offer.

5. EDN – Part 5

GEO-LISP Aleksandra Poniewiera
ul. Smółki 32a, 44-213 Książenice

A total of 3 contractors submitted offers in the proceedings. These offers obtained a certain number of points under the evaluation criteria set out in the table below:

No.	Company (name) or name and address of the contractor	The score for the price criterion	Total points
1.	GEO-LISP Aleksandra Poniewiera ul. Smółki 32a 44-213 Książenice	100	100
2.	Compro Jolanta Olszewska ul. Kotarbińskiego 19, 41-400 Mysłowice	78,6	78,6

Justification for the selection of the most advantageous offer:

The Ordering Party has conducted an examination and evaluation of the submitted offers. As a result, the Ordering Party has determined that the contractor GEO-LISP Aleksandra Poniewiera (hereinafter: „GEO-LISP”) meets the participation requirements of the procedure and is not subject to exclusion. The Ordering Party has also determined that the offer submitted by the GEO-LISP contractor is not subject to rejection. Among the evaluation criteria adopted by the Ordering Party, the offer submitted by the GEO-LISP contractor received the highest number of points and was therefore evaluated as the most advantageous offer. The offer of this contractor, within the criteria, received a total of 100 points.

According to Article 308(2) of the Public Procurement Law, the Ordering Party may conclude a contract for public procurement within a period not shorter than 5 days from the date of sending the notification of the selection of the most advantageous offer.

6. VENTSIM DESIGN (Advanced) – Part 6

Restor P.Anton, A. Czapski, T.Tostropowicz spółka jawna z siedzibą w Warszawie,
ul. Dworkowa 2/107a, 00-784 Warszawa

A total of 3 contractors submitted offers in the proceedings. These offers obtained a certain number of points under the evaluation criteria set out in the table below:

No.	Company (name) or name and address of the contractor	The score for the price criterion	Total points
1.	Restor P.Anton, A. Czapski, T.Tostropowicz spółka jawna z siedzibą w Warszawie, ul. Dworkowa 2/107a 00-784 Warszawa	100	100
2.	Compro Jolanta Olszewska ul. Kotarbińskiego 19, 41-400 Mysłowice	84,5	84,5

The justification for choosing the most advantageous offer:

The Ordering Party has decided to increase the amount it intends to allocate for the implementation of this part of the order to a total of 31 857,00 zł gross.

The Ordering Party has conducted an examination and evaluation of the submitted offers. As a result, the Ordering Party has determined that the contractor Restor P.Anton, A. Czapski, T.Tostropowicz spółka jawna (hereinafter: „Restor”) meets the participation requirements of the procedure and is not subject to exclusion. The Ordering Party has also determined that the offer submitted by the Restor contractor is not subject to rejection. Among the evaluation criteria adopted by the Ordering Party, the offer submitted by the Restor contractor received the highest number of points and was therefore evaluated as the most advantageous offer. The offer of this contractor, within the criteria, received a total of 100 points.

According to Article 308(2) of the Public Procurement Law, the Ordering Party may conclude a contract for public procurement within a period not shorter than 5 days from the date of sending the notification of the selection of the most advantageous offer.